De La Salle School: Pupil Premium Strategy Statement

This statement details our school's use of pupil premium funding to help improve the attainment of our disadvantaged pupils.

It outlines our pupil premium strategy, how we intend to spend the funding in this academic year and the effect that last year's spending of pupil premium had within our school.

School overview

Detail	Data
School name	De La Salle School
Number of pupils in school	1195
Proportion (%) of pupil premium eligible pupils	22%
Academic year/years that our current pupil premium	2021-22
strategy plan covers (3 year plans are recommended)	2022-23
	2023-24
Date this statement was published	05/11/2021
	Reviewed October 2022
Date on which it will be reviewed	01/09/2023
Statement authorised by	Andrew Rannard
Pupil premium lead	Christine Mulhall
Governor / Trustee lead	Teresa Sims

Funding overview

Detail	Amount
Pupil premium funding allocation 2022-23 academic year	£271,010 (estimated)
Recovery premium funding allocation this academic year	£79,611
Pupil premium funding carried forward from previous years (enter £0 if not applicable)	£0
Total budget for 2022-23 academic year	£350,621
If your school is an academy in a trust that pools this funding, state the amount available to your school this academic year	

Part A: Pupil premium strategy plan

Statement of intent

Our Lasallian identity underpins all that we do at our school, and the support we provide to our disadvantaged pupils. One of our five core principles "quality education" is key component of our strategy. It is our belief that all our students should receive the highest quality of education and we endeavour to prioritise high-quality teaching, ensuring we remove barriers to our students, broaden their horizons and encourage them to realise their potential.

A key element for any student to access quality education is their ability to read and we have invested heavily in identifying gaps in reading knowledge, identifying the cause of those gaps e.g. phonics, fluency, automaticity, and implemented a comprehensive programme of reading interventions for each level of need. This is coordinated by a member of the SLT and our own Reading Champion.

We have implemented a bespoke school-based Teaching & Learning CPD programme "Lasallian Learning" to support the professional development of all members of the community involved in the delivery of the curriculum: teachers, Teaching Assistants (TAs), and Early Career Teacher mentoring. Directed time has been given to develop this across the whole school. We have built on programmes from previous years, helping us to progress towards our three-year long focus for improvement. We implement our strategy by ensuring that targeted, expert support is available to staff, and that subject specific expertise informs our decision-making.

A small portion of pupil premium budget is 'devolved' for specific subject spend, this is carefully guided and monitored by the Pupil Premium Lead on the Senior Leadership Team. Pastoral and SEND teams work with subject leaders to identify pupils who would benefit from small group or bespoke support. Data is used systematically identify students who would benefit from in-lesson support and both extra-curricular and within the curriculum intervention.

In response to the COVID-19 pandemic, we recognise the need to provide targeted support to ensure that pupils can catch up any missed learning. A process of rapid assessment when pupils returned to school enabled us to identify those most in need of catch-up support and deliver targeted bespoke programmes of support.

To ensure our pupil premium strategy is being implemented effectively, we have expanded our successful trial of a Pupil Premium Learning Mentor post, and now have this post in both Key Stage 3 and 4. As well as supporting students, these colleagues ensure we have expertise to closely monitor key academic and pastoral data.

Challenges

This details the key challenges to achievement that we have identified among our disadvantaged pupils.

Challenge number	Detail of challenge
1	Identifying specific academic needs of PP students.
2	% of pupils with reading ages below their chronological ages and prior attainment affected by pandemic.
3	Low levels of parental support.
4	Disconnect with adults in school and their life experiences.
5	Punctuality/Attendance of key PP students.
6	Training needs of teaching, pastoral and support staff.
7	Lack of access to educational resources including IT.
8	Ensuring PP students in core subjects are placed in highest sets for their potential grades
9	Ensuring intervention is targeted at most appropriate students
10	Logistics of making breakfast funding available
11	Identifying and addressing gaps in learning due to the COVID-19 pandemic

Intended outcomes

This explains the outcomes we are aiming for by the end of our current strategy plan (summer 2023), and how we will measure whether they have been achieved.

Intended outcome	Success criteria
Progress 8	-0.1
Attainment 8	43
Percentage of Grade 5+ in English and maths	30%
Number of permanent exclusions	0
Number of suspensions	100
Attendance	95%
% Persistent absentees	15%
NEET	0

Activity in this academic year

This details how we intend to spend our pupil premium (and recovery premium funding) **this academic year** to address the challenges listed above.

Teaching (for example, CPD, recruitment and retention)

Budgeted cost: £172,400

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
Increased staffing in English, maths and science.	EEF research suggests that COVID has potentially reversed a decade of progress in closing the attainment gap. Evidence is clear that DA students have fallen further behind.	1,8,9
	Increasing the staffing has allowed us to personalise our intervention and prioritise pupil premium students. Curriculum maps have been adapted to ensure that intervention within the taught curriculum is appropriate for all students, specifically PP students.	
Literacy programme; identifying reading leads in all departments	Research shows that reading ability is essential to successful outcomes in all subject areas at GCSE. EEF research shows that DA students with lower literacy skills than non-DA students prevents them from making good progress. % of PP students with a reading age below their chronological age upon entry to Y7 is 28% in comparison to 20% for other students	2
CPD for key middle leaders and aspiring middle leaders	To ensure success the DfE is clear a PP strategy needs to be aligned with other school developments for effective implementation.	6
	Staff voice exercises have enabled us to target CPD much more effectively ensuring that all key staff who work with all our students are fully equipped to meet their needs.	
Identifying specific academic needs of PP students	EEF: "diagnostic assessments-when used effectively-can indicate areas for development."	1
	We have spent time reviewing and improving our curriculum maps and accompanying assessments to ensure they are effective in supporting the academic progress of all students and the specific needs and potential barriers to progress of PP students.	
	PP students make less progress than other students with the same prior attainment, particularly in computing, geography, history and RE (2022 KS4 GCSE outcomes).	

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
	PP boys make less progress, particularly in English Literature and Language (2022 KS4 GCSEs). School-based research and QA activities, post COVID lockdowns, have supported this	
	· ·	
	curriculum content.	
High quality, personalised CPD package offered to all staff with a particular focus on effective pedagogies and classroom intervention	It is widely accepted that CPD can play a crucial role in teacher development and that it can lead to improvements in teacher practice. Recent reviews have also suggested that CPD can have a significant effect on pupils' learning outcomes	6
High quality PSHCE curriculum developed to support student personal development	There is growing evidence that children's social and emotional skills – their ability to respond to setbacks, work well with others, build relationships, manage emotions, and cope with difficult situations – are associated with success at school, as well as positive outcomes in adulthood, such as stable employment, physical and mental health, and well-being	4

Targeted academic support (for example, tutoring, one-to-one support structured interventions)

Budgeted cost: £68,750

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
PP TA intervention across All Year groups for low attaining/ underachieving PP students	Evidence consistently shows positive impact of targeted academic support (EEF) The low aspirations and self-esteem for a number of our PP students (particularly boys in KS4) has had a detrimental effect on their academic progress. PP student response to this personalised approach has been highly positive. Engagement in sessions has been high. Students who lack parental/home support now have an adult in school who is taking a personal interest in their progress, acknowledges the disconnect they sometimes feel with other adults in school and works in partnership with them to build positive relationships with other members of the school community and establish positive academic goals. Our provision of 1 PP TA has been doubled to 2 for this academic year.	3,4,5,9
Literacy programme; identifying gaps in reading	Research shows that reading ability is essential to successful outcomes in all subject areas at GCSE.	2

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
knowledge and implementation of intervention programmes building upon the reading leads in all departments	EEF research shows that DA students with lower literacy skills than non-DA students prevents them from making good progress. % of PP students with a reading age below their chronological age upon entry to Y7 is 28% in comparison to 20% for other students.	
Ensure HoDs recognise and address the barriers to progress for PP students	PP students with previous higher attainment have not always been placed in the highest sets to achieve their potential grades by KS4. Training with HODs and incorporating a PP strand into development plans ensures that the level of academic challenge remains as high as possible for students despite other barriers.	8,9
Past Pupil mentoring support	Peer-to-peer support for identified students to raise aspirations and ambition to enable them to acknowledge and address barriers to achievement that they are in control of and establish short and long term plans for their future. In 2018, 4% of pupil premium students were NEET, compared to 1% of other students, and 75% of PP students progressed to FE/sixth form college compared to 89% of other students.	4
Develop HoY strategic role in identifying and addressing low levels of progress	The recommended cyclical approach to developing a PP strategy relies heavily on diagnosing students' needs. The role of the HoY is vital in having a strategic overview of the pastoral circumstances of the PP student and the potential impact on academic progress. This aligns the PP strategy closely with the whole school 3-year plan.	
Re-design QA calendar to ensure pastoral/academic sessions are targeted at specific cohorts	This is essential to implement stage 4 of the strategy; monitor and evaluate. EEF evidence is clear that PP students have fallen further behind; these students must be targeted in meetings to ensure all are aware of the pastoral needs and academic potential of these students and can shape the curriculum accordingly.	9
Targeted tuition through National Tutoring Programme and school staff.	EEF suggest small group tuition is most likely to be effective if it is targeted at pupils' specific needs and that the quality of the teaching in small groups may be as or more important than the precise group size.	9,11
Specialist CEIAG for all Pupil Premium students	The importance of providing students with the knowledge, understanding, confidence and skills that they need to make informed choices and plans for their future learning and career is widely recognised. This is particularly important for pupils from deprived backgrounds to ensure they have high aspirations and are supported to break the cycle of poverty.	1,4,9

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
Specific support for Children in Care (CiC) students to meet their individual needs (managed via EPEP)	This is the approach which is recommended and managed by the Virtual Headteacher for CiC in St Helens. By meeting with each CiC individually, their specific needs can be identified and funding used in a targeted way to meet their needs.	1,4,5,7,9

Wider strategies (for example, related to attendance, behaviour, wellbeing)

Budgeted cost: £109,500

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
All Y11 PP students have access to IT/data as needed (and other equipment/activities relevant to academic success in the course)	EEF research suggests that an additional 4 months of progress can be gained through use of technological approaches to supplement teaching across all age groups	7
Increased student access to ICT facilities across the school and at home through the targeted deployment of school laptops		
All PP students have access to breakfast and are supported to access extracurricular activities which directly affect their progress.	A key priority is to secure high levels of attendance post-pandemic. Pupil Premium students regularly access our breakfast service which is now run by our catering provider so that students can have breakfast alongside their peers without being singled out. In the 2021-22 academic year there were 4 PP students accessing funded instrumental tuition (19% of the peripatetic music cohort). Students also received financial support to access educational visits to Stratford, Iceland, Snowdonia and the Lake District, and to access our Lasallian retreats.	10

Total budgeted cost: £349,060

Part B: Review of outcomes in the previous academic year

Pupil premium strategy outcomes

This details the impact that our pupil premium activity had on pupils in the 2021 to 2022 academic year.

Planned outcomes for the PP strategy for the 2021-22 academic year were based largely based around attainment and attendance. The COVID-19 pandemic has made these outcomes difficult to measure and should be viewed within that context. The table below shows the impact of COVID on both PP and non-PP students.

Progress	Number of students	Avg Attendance 2021/22	Avg Attendance 2020/21
2+ grades below expected	11	75%	87%
1+ grades below expected	58	82%	87%
Making Negative Progress	144	85%	90%
Positive Progress	77	91%	94%
1+ grades above expected	19	94%	97%

Progress for disadvantaged students was -0.99 (compared to -0.27 for non-PP students) whilst attainment, as measured by A8 for PP students was 31.32 (compared to 46.11 for non-PP students).

For students achieving the basics 9-5 (inc En/Ma) who were PP the figure is 17.5% (compared to 46.4 non-PP) and the basics 9-4 (inc En / Ma) was 30% (compared to 70% Non-PP)

Progress measures for other year groups show the following impact:

Predicted impact (as of end of Year 10):

- Progress 8: -0.87 (comparted to -0.73 for non-PP)
- Attainment 8: 41.18 (compared to 50.03 for non-PP)

For KS3:

- End of Yr 9: Average grade for PP students: 47 (compared to 56 for non-PP)
- End of Yr 8: Average grade for PP students: 45 (compared to 55 for non-PP)
- End of Yr 7: Average grade for PP students: 47 (compared to 58 for non-PP)

An additional target was for Personal Development & Welfare and Learning, Teaching & Assessment to be judged consistently Good across the school. The Ofsted inspection in February 2020 graded Personal Development as Good, whilst the Ofsted visit in July 2022 noted that "Leaders and those responsible for governance are taking effective action toward the school becoming a good school."

The successful appointment of a PP Learning Assistant had a positive impact on students. This dedicated member of staff undertook individual meetings with students, visited them in their different classes and looked at their attainment information. This work resulted in individual supported learning plans for some PP students and changes of setting for others to ensure that they were being challenged appropriately and were able to access the most appropriate learning opportunities. The impact was to produce a positive Progress 8 for the cohort that were involved of 0.3. This role has now been extended into the next PP plan due to the initial successes seen in 2020-21.

In terms of attendance, as mentioned earlier, COVID had a significant impact directly (COVID absence and indirectly, through isolation) across the period. PP attendance was 82.6% compared to 91.6% for non-PP in school). The gap between PP and non-PP attendance was greatest in Yr 9 (15.1%) and smallest in Year 7 (5.1%).

Externally provided programmes

Please include the names of any non-DfE programmes that you purchased in the previous academic year. This will help the Department for Education identify which ones are popular in England

Programme	Provider

Service pupil premium funding (optional)

For schools that receive this funding, you may wish to provide the following information:

Measure	Details
How did you spend your service pupil premium allocation last academic year?	
What was the impact of that spending on service pupil premium eligible pupils?	

Further information (optional)

One of our five core principles "quality education" is key component of our approach to teaching and learning for all students. It is our belief that all our students should receive the highest quality of education and we endeavour to prioritise high-quality teaching, ensuring we remove barriers to our students, broaden their horizons and encourage them to realise their potential. Pupil Premium students benefit from this approach in line with all other students.

Within school, departments are encouraged, as part of recorded and evidenced CPD, to choose different foci for improvement for the year. Many departments choose to focus on PP students, and boys in particular. This does not require any additional funding but does mean that the attainment of this group is subject to scrutiny after each assessment point and that interventions are put in place within the normal curriculum. The maths department has been particularly strong in this area, regularly changing students' teaching groups to address specific needs and gaps in knowledge.

The school has a strong careers programme for all students, funded from our core grant, which prioritises support for Pupil Premium students to raise aspirations and secure post-16 placements. As a result, our NEET figures are low across all key groups and access to further education, employment and training is valued by students.